

Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) for repeated measures: 1-sample case

- n repeated measures treated as $n \times 1$ response vector \mathbf{y}_i
- subjects with any missing y_{ij} (across time) are omitted from the analysis

Model

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{e}_i$$

- $\boldsymbol{\mu} = n \times 1$ mean vector for timepoints
- $\mathbf{e}_i = n \times 1$ vector of errors $\sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ in the population

- Notice, under univariate model assumptions:
 - $\Sigma = \sigma_{\pi}^2 \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}'_n + \sigma_e^2 \mathbf{I}_n$
 - $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mu + \boldsymbol{\tau}$ (*i.e.*, grand mean and time effects)
 - \Rightarrow univariate results can be extracted from multivariate model (and calculations)
- For one-sample case,
 - characterize timepoint vector $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
 - choose contrasts depending on structure and hypotheses of interest

Growth Curve Analysis - polynomial representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \mu_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \beta_0 + \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ t_n \end{bmatrix} \beta_1 + \begin{bmatrix} t_1^2 \\ t_2^2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ t_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \beta_2 + \dots + \begin{bmatrix} t_1^{q-1} \\ t_2^{q-1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ t_n^{q-1} \end{bmatrix} \beta_{q-1}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \boldsymbol{\mu} & = & \mathbf{T}' \boldsymbol{\beta} \\ n \times 1 & & n \times q \quad q \times 1 \end{array}$$

- t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n represent timepoint values
- $q \leq n$ is the degree of the polynomial

Advantageous to orthogonalize \mathbf{T}

$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{P}'\boldsymbol{\theta}$ where \mathbf{P} is $q \times n$ matrix of orthogonal polynomials (including a row for the intercept or constant term)

- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}' = (\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}')$ Cholesky factorization
- \mathbf{S} is a $q \times q$ lower triangular matrix (note: in SAS IML the ROOT function yields \mathbf{S}' instead)

SAS IML example with 4 timepoints

```
TITLE 'producing orthogonal polynomial matrix';
PROC IML;
  time = { 1 1 1 1  ,
           0 1 2 3  ,
           0 1 4 9  ,
           0 1 8 27 } ;

  orthpoly = INV(T(ROOT(time*T(time))))*time;
  PRINT 'Time matrix', time [FORMAT = 8.4];
  PRINT 'Orthonormalized', orthpoly [FORMAT=8.4];
```

Output

Time matrix

```
    TIME
1.0000 1.0000 1.0000  1.0000
0.0000 1.0000 2.0000  3.0000
0.0000 1.0000 4.0000  9.0000
0.0000 1.0000 8.0000 27.0000
```

Orthonormalized

```
    ORTHPOLY
 0.5000  0.5000  0.5000  0.5000
-0.6708 -0.2236  0.2236  0.6708
 0.5000 -0.5000 -0.5000  0.5000
-0.2236  0.6708 -0.6708  0.2236
```

Orthogonal Polynomial Trend Model

$$P\mathbf{y}_i = P\boldsymbol{\mu} + P\mathbf{e}_i = \boldsymbol{\theta} + \mathbf{e}_i^*$$

• *e.g.*,

$$P_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \vdots & \div\sqrt{4} \\ -3 & -1 & 1 & 3 & \vdots & \div\sqrt{20} \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & \vdots & \div\sqrt{4} \\ -1 & 3 & -3 & 1 & \vdots & \div\sqrt{20} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \mathbf{p}_0 \\ \mathbf{p}_1 \\ \mathbf{p}_2 \\ \mathbf{p}_3 \end{matrix}$$

• $\boldsymbol{\theta} = n \times 1$ vector of transformed population means with its least squares estimate given by the transformed sample mean vector, namely $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = P\bar{\mathbf{y}}$.

• $\mathbf{e}_i^* \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* = P\boldsymbol{\Sigma}P')$

– note: univariate ANOVA assumes $P\boldsymbol{\Sigma}P'$ is diagonal with equal values below the first element (since P , as defined above, includes the zero-order term, *i.e.*, the grand mean)

MANOVA matrices

source	SSCP ($n \times n$)	E(SSCP)
Time	$SST^* = N\mathbf{P}\bar{\mathbf{y}}_.\bar{\mathbf{y}}_.'\mathbf{P}'$	$\mathbf{P} [\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + N\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}'] \mathbf{P}'$
Residual	$SSR^* = \mathbf{P} SSR \mathbf{P}'$ $= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y} - N\bar{\mathbf{y}}_.\bar{\mathbf{y}}_.')\mathbf{P}'$	$(N - 1)\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{P}'$

- $\mathbf{Y} = N \times n$ matrix of all the data
- $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_.$ = $n \times 1$ vector of timepoint means

SST*

- first diagonal element = $Nn\bar{y}_{..}^2$ = function of grand mean
- other $n - 1$ diagonal elements = orthogonal polynomial decomposition of Time SS = $N \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$

SSR*

- first diagonal element = Subject SS = $n \sum_{i=1}^N (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$
- other $n - 1$ diagonal elements = orthogonal polynomial decomposition of Error (*i.e.*, Subject by Time) SS

Orthogonal polynomial partition of sum of squares and products (1-sample case)

	diag element
$SST^* = \begin{bmatrix} sst_0 & & & & \\ & sst_1 & & & \\ & & sst_2 & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & sst_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$	constant linear time quad time ... (n - 1)th time
$SSR^* = \begin{bmatrix} SSR_0 & & & & \\ & SSR_1 & & & \\ & & SSR_2 & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & SSR_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$	subjects subj \times lin subj \times quad ... subj \times (n - 1)

Note: these are symmetric matrices, however the diagonals contain all of the information of interest in SS calculations

Extracting univariate repeated measures ANOVA

Source	df	SS	MS	E(MS)
Subjects	$N - 1$	$SS_S = n \sum_{i=1}^N (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$	$\frac{SS_S}{N-1}$	$\sigma_e^2 + n\sigma_\pi^2$
Time	$n - 1$	$SS_T = N \sum_{j=1}^n (\bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$	$\frac{SS_T}{n-1}$	$\sigma_e^2 + N \sum (\tau_j - \tau_{.})^2$
Residual	$(N - 1) \times (n - 1)$	$SS_R = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^n y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{.j} + \bar{y}_{..})^2$	$\frac{SS_R}{(N-1)(n-1)}$	σ_e^2
total	$Nn - 1$	$SS_y = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^n (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2$		

- $SS_S = s_{sr_0}$ from SSR^* matrix
- $SS_T = \sum$ lower $n - 1$ SST^* diagonal elements
- $SS_R = \sum$ lower $n - 1$ SSR^* diagonal elements

notice:

$$\begin{aligned} MS_R &= \frac{\Sigma \text{ lower } n - 1 \text{ SSR}^* \text{ diagonal elements}}{(N - 1)(n - 1)} \\ &= \frac{\text{average } n - 1 \text{ SSR}^* \text{ diagonal elements}}{(N - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow *sometimes called “averaged” tests*

Multivariate test of Time effect

- $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu}$ elements all equal $\iff H_0 : \boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$
- Extract and compare lower $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrices of SST^* and SSR^*
- Solve 2-matrix eigenproblem:

$$| SST_{(n-1)}^* - \lambda SSR_{(n-1)}^* | = 0$$

note: $\lambda = 1$ **if** $SST_{(n-1)}^* = SSR_{(n-1)}^*$

Motivation for 2-matrix eigenproblem

- eigenvalue = root of a matrix equation
- note: in scalar terms, we could write

$$MS_T - F MS_R = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad F = MS_T / MS_R$$

- this is akin to what we have in multivariate terms

$$| \text{SST}_{(n-1)}^* - \lambda \text{SSR}_{(n-1)}^* | = 0$$

- λ is the root of this equation
- function of λ yields multivariate F statistic

Simplifying the problem

- Use Cholesky factorization $\text{SSR}_{(n-1)}^* = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}'$ to yield a 1-matrix eigenproblem (remember SAS IML gives upper-triangular matrix \mathbf{E}')

$$|\mathbf{E}^{-1} \text{SST}_{(n-1)}^* (\mathbf{E}^{-1})' - \lambda \mathbf{I}_{(n-1)}| = 0$$

- Multivariate test statistics
 - Roy's largest root statistic: eigenvalue λ
 - Wilk's Lambda: $\Lambda = 1/(1 + \lambda)$
 - functions of these test statistics approximately follow F -distribution (under null hypothesis), though sometimes interpolation is necessary (fractional df)

Tests of specific time elements

- Univariate: extract lower $n - 1$ diagonal elements of SST* and use MS_R as denominator

$$F_1 = \frac{sst_1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} SSR_j / [(n-1)(N-1)]} \quad \text{linear}$$

$$F_2 = \frac{sst_2}{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} SSR_j / [(n-1)(N-1)]} \quad \text{quadratic}$$

• • •

•

$$F_{n-1} = \frac{sst_{n-1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} SSR_j / [(n-1)(N-1)]} \quad (n - 1)\text{th}$$

- Multivariate: extract and compare lower $n - 1$ diagonal elements of SST^* and SSR^*

$$F_1 = \frac{sst_1}{ssr_1/(N-1)} \quad \text{linear}$$

$$F_2 = \frac{sst_2}{ssr_2/(N-1)} \quad \text{quadratic}$$

.

$$F_{n-1} = \frac{sst_{n-1}}{ssr_{n-1}/(N-1)} \quad (n - 1)\text{th}$$

*Example 3a: Analysis of vocabulary data using MANOVA
(SAS code and output)
<http://tigger.uic.edu/~hedeker/bockvoc2.txt>*

OPTIONAL

Example 3b: Analysis of sleep data using IML to do MANOVA (SAS code and output)

<http://tigger.uic.edu/~hedeker/bockvoc3.txt>

MANOVA of repeated measures - s sample case

- $h = 1, \dots, s$ groups
- $i = 1, \dots, N_h$ subjects in group h
- $j = 1, \dots, n$ timepoints
- $N = \sum N_h$ total number of subjects

$$\mathbf{y}_{hi} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_h + \mathbf{e}_{hi}$$

- $\boldsymbol{\mu} = n \times 1$ vector mean for timepoints
- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_h = n \times 1$ vector effect for the population from which the h th group of subjects was drawn
- $\mathbf{e}_{hi} = n \times 1$ vector of errors $\sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ in each of the populations
 - homogeneity of variance-covariance across groups

Again, with orthogonal transformation for time effects

$$P\mathbf{y}_{hi} = P\boldsymbol{\mu} + P\boldsymbol{\gamma}_h + P\mathbf{e}_{hi}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{hi}^* \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^* = P\boldsymbol{\Sigma}P')$$

test $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^*$ for sphericity to use univariate “averaged” tests

MANOVA matrices

source SSCP ($n \times n$)

Time $SST^* = \mathbf{P} SST \mathbf{P}' = N \mathbf{P} \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{..} \bar{\mathbf{y}}'_{..} \mathbf{P}'$

Group $SSG^* = \mathbf{P} SSG \mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P} (\sum_h N_h \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{h.} \bar{\mathbf{y}}'_{h.} - SST) \mathbf{P}'$

Residual $SSR^* = \mathbf{P} SSR \mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P} (SSY - SSG - SST) \mathbf{P}'$

Total $SSY^* = \mathbf{P} SSY \mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P} (\sum_h \sum_i \mathbf{y}_{hi} \mathbf{y}'_{hi}) \mathbf{P}'$

Results only depend on summary statistics

- Cross-product matrix from overall mean vector

$$\bar{\mathbf{y}} \bar{\mathbf{y}}'$$

- Sum of cross-product matrices from group mean vectors

$$\sum_h N_h \bar{\mathbf{y}}_h \bar{\mathbf{y}}_h'$$

- sum of cross-product matrices from subject data vectors

$$\sum_h \sum_i \mathbf{y}_{hi} \mathbf{y}_{hi}'$$

Orthogonal Polynomial partition of sum of squares and products (s -sample case) Diagonal elements

	diag element
$SST^* = \begin{bmatrix} sst_0 & & & & \\ & sst_1 & & & \\ & & sst_2 & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & sst_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$	constant linear time quad time ... $(n - 1)$ th time
$SSG^* = \begin{bmatrix} ssg_0 & & & & \\ & ssg_1 & & & \\ & & ssg_2 & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & ssg_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$	groups grps \times lin grps \times quad ... grps \times $(n - 1)$
$SSR^* = \begin{bmatrix} SSR_0 & & & & \\ & SSR_1 & & & \\ & & SSR_2 & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & SSR_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$	subjects $s(g) \times$ lin $s(g) \times$ quad ... $s(g) \times$ $(n - 1)$

Extracting univariate ANOVA results

SS Time	$= sst_1 + sst_2 + \dots + sst_{n-1}$	source SST*
SS Group	$= ssg_0$	SSG*
SS GT	$= ssg_1 + ssg_2 + \dots + ssg_{n-1}$	SSG*
SS Subj (w/in groups)	$= ssr_0$	SSR*
SS Residual	$= ssr_1 + ssr_2 + \dots + ssr_{n-1}$	SSR*

For denominator:

- Time, G by T, & Subj: $SS \text{ Residual} / (N - s)(n - 1)$
 \Rightarrow average of $n - 1$ residual terms
- Group: $ssr_0 / (N - s)$

Multivariate model

- Group test is the same
- Each time-related term has its own denominator
 - no averaging to obtain one error term

e.g., after multivariate test of Group by Time, then

$$F_{GT_1} = \frac{SSg_1/(s-1)}{SSr_1/(N-s)} \quad \text{group by linear}$$

$$F_{GT_2} = \frac{SSg_2/(s-1)}{SSr_2/(N-s)} \quad \text{group by quadratic}$$

• • • •

$$F_{GT_{n-1}} = \frac{SSg_{n-1}/(s-1)}{SSr_{n-1}/(N-s)} \quad \text{group by } (n-1)\text{th}$$

each on $s - 1$ and $N - s$ degrees of freedom

Multivariate test of Group by Time

- Extract $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ submatrices of SSG^* and SSR^*
- Solve 2-matrix eigenproblem for $\min(s - 1, n - 1)$ roots:

$$| SSG_{(n-1)}^* - \lambda SSR_{(n-1)}^* | = 0$$

- Roy's largest root statistic = 1st eigenvalue λ_1
 - Wilk's Lambda $\Lambda = \prod_{h=1}^{s-1} 1 / (1 + \lambda_h)$
- same test can be made for $n - q - 1$ terms if only a $q < n$ degree trend is considered
 - *e.g.*, only test for linear and quad trends even if $n > 3$

If Group by Time is not significant, may want to pool Group \times Time into Residual for (multivariate) testing of Time

- pooling not easy to do with software
- pooling increases power, but if interaction df are small relative to residual df then loss in power by not pooling is not appreciable

*Example 3c: MANOVA analysis of Prozac weight data.
Includes use of CONTRAST statement to obtain estimates
of a-priori contrasts for the multi-group situation.*

(SAS code and output)

<http://tigger.uic.edu/~hedeker/prozwtc.txt>

More General Model

- A and B are between-subjects factors (*e.g.*, sex and group)
- T is the within-subjects factor (time)

within-subjects	between-subjects			
	I (1)	A ($a - 1$)	B ($b - 1$)	AB ($(a - 1)(b - 1)$)
I (1)	grand mean	sex	group	sex \times group
T ($n - 1$)	time	sex \times time	group \times time	sex \times group \times time

\Rightarrow may want to test time-related terms with less than $(n - 1)$ df, especially with orthogonal polynomials (hard to interpret polynomials beyond cubic)

Design on repeated measures

e.g., two-period crossover study

period 1		period 2	
drug	placebo	drug	placebo
drug	placebo	placebo	drug
placebo	drug	drug	placebo
placebo	drug	placebo	drug

WS factors: Period and Treatment

BS factor: Order

within-subjects	between-subjects	
	I(1)	Order ($c - 1$)
I (1)	grand mean	order
Treatment ($a - 1$)	treatment	order \times treatment
Period ($b - 1$)	period	order \times period
Group \times Period ($a - 1$)($b - 1$)	group \times period	order \times treatment \times period

with $n - 1 = (a - 1) + (b - 1) + (a - 1)(b - 1)$

where $n =$ total number of timepoints

Summary - ANOVA and MANOVA approaches

Pros

- well-understood and developed methods
- readily available software
- results are based on relatively simple calculations (non-iterative)

Cons

- ANOVA assumes sphericity
- MANOVA allows general Σ , but no missing data
- categorical treatment of time
- handling of covariates (continuous-type) is limited, especially for time-varying covariates

More Recent Methods for Longitudinal Data

- representation as regression model, $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i\boldsymbol{\beta}$
 - more flexibility for continuous predictors
 - time can be treated continuously (or not)
 - easy to include time-varying predictors
- Maximum likelihood (ML) estimation (or variant of ML estimation) for unbalanced data
 - **subjects can be missing across time**

- variety of forms for Σ ($= V(\mathbf{y})$)
 - mixed-effects models: add multiple random subject effects, $\mathbf{Z}_i \mathbf{v}_i$, to model, thus $\Sigma = \mathbf{Z} \Sigma_v \mathbf{Z}' + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$
 - * *e.g.*, random subject intercepts and time trends
 - covariance pattern models for parsimonious modeling of Σ
 - * *e.g.*, unstructured, AR(1), or banded
 - hybrid: mixed-effects models with autocorrelated errors, $\Sigma = \mathbf{Z} \Sigma_v \mathbf{Z}' + \sigma^2 \Omega$
 - $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is right model, but tests are incorrect assuming \mathbf{e} are independent
 - * get “robust” standard errors for tests of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ via generalized estimating equations (GEE)